

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-29247-2019
Date of Decision: 4th October, 2019

Lalita Devi & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Harpreet S. Rakhra, Advocate for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 03.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot – respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, P.S. Sujanpur, District Pathankot – respondent No.3.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot – respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, P.S. Sujanpur, District Pathankot – respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 03.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot – respondent No.2 and the Station House Officer, P.S. Sujanpur, District Pathankot – respondent No.3, to look into the representation dated 03.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

4th October, 2019
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No