

210-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43551 of 2019

Date of decision: November 18, 2019

Karan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Rujhan Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.337 dated 08.09.2019, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 452, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

On 11.10.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that co-accused Baldev Singh, who has filed CRM-M-41150 of 2019 has been extended the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 25.09.2019 (Annexure P/2).

Notice of motion for 01.11.2019.

To be heard along with CRM-M-41150 of 2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him

to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Sandeep Kumar, has stated that in terms of order dated 11.10.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 11.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 18, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No