

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6397 of 2019

Date of decision: 14.10.2019

Deshraj

...Petitioner

Versus

Sarita

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vijay Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the District Judge, Narnaul, whereby application filed by respondent-wife under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act has been allowed and petitioner-husband has been directed to pay interim maintenance at the rate of Rs.3000/- per month.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that petitioner-husband is a contractor i.e. *Raj Mistri* and his income has been taken as Rs.9000/- per month being an unskilled labourer. Keeping in view the said fact, this Court is of the view that the maintenance awarded in favour of respondent-wife is not on the higher side.

No merits.

Dismissed.

14.10.2019

ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No