

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.29408 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision.14.10.2019**

Mahabir and another

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the writ petition is for directing respondents to regularize services of petitioners according to policy decision dated 1.10.2003 (Annexure P-2) and 10.02.2004 (Annexure P-3) or from the date when benefit has been granted to number of similarly situated employees of the Department vide office order No.3690-3715 dated 14.10.2006 issued by respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4) with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Divisional Forest Officer (Territorial), Sonapat to consider the legal notice dated 6.6.2019 (Annexure P-9) within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.3-Divisional Forest Officer (Territorial), Sonapat to consider the legal notice dated 6.6.2019 (Annexure P-9) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.3 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioners. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent

petitioners to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 14, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No