

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.29120 of 2019
Date of decision: 04.10.2019**

Siddharth Rawat

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is a student of B-Tech Course, 3rd Year, in the Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Study Faridabad, District Faridabad a deemed to be university under the UGC Act, 1956 but on account of an incident which took place on 15.7.2019 the petitioner was rusticated vide order Annexure P-2 dated 6.8.2019 passed by respondent No.4. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted representations Annexure P-4 and P-5 dated 9.9.2019 to respondent Nos.2 and 3 but no decision has been taken on the same till date.

2. Learned counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P-4 dated 9.9.2019 in accordance with the rules and regulations applicable expeditiously.

3. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to

consider and decide the representation Annexure P-4 dated 9.9.2019 in accordance with the rules and regulations applicable as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order alongwith copy of representation Annexure P-4 dated 9.9.2019.

4. Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

October 04, 2019

ps

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE