

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO.29141 of 2019
Date of Decision:04.10.2019**

Naina and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms.Suman Bishnoi, Advocate for
Ms.Ekta Rani, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 (parents of petitioner No.1) as they have married against their wishes.

Counsel for the petitioners argues that both the petitioners are of the age where they can legally decide their future prospectus including life partners but the private respondents, who are the family members of petitioner No.1, are objecting to the marriage of the petitioners and petitioners apprehend danger to their life and liberty at their hands as the petitioners have already solemnized marriage against their wishes on 02.10.2019.

Counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners have submitted representation dated 02.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 seeking the protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to the same from the private respondents.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court Ms.Sunint Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondents No. 2 and 3 to look into the grievances of petitioners as raised in the representation (Annexure P-5) dated 02.10.2019 and in case any merit is found in the said representation appropriate action be taken so that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.10.2019
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No