IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(105) **CWP No. 30844 of 2019**
Date of Decision : 28.11.2019

Amarnath and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gagan Pradeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

***

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioners is that their pensionary benefits after the grant of voluntarily retirements, have not been correctly fixed by the respondents and despite the fact that petitioners had approached the respondents, no action is being taken. Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that similarly situated employees as the petitioners filed CWP No. 14616 of 2018 titled as ***Ashok Kumar Bhardwaj and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, which was disposed of by this Court on 31.05.2018 for deciding their representation filed by them in a time bound manner and keeping in view the directions issued by this Court in ***Ashok Kumar Bhardwaj's case (supra)***, respondents are only considering the claim of the petitioners therein and not the present petitioners, who have also filed the

KANCHAN
2019.12.09 11:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

representation claiming the same relief. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that all the petitioners have sent identical but separate representation to the respondents. Learned counsel states that petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case respondent No. 4 is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) and the other representations which the remaining petitioners have submitted, in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioners, respondent No. 4 is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) and the other representations, which the remaining petitioners have submitted, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 28, 2019 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
kanchan **JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No

KANCHAN
2019.12.09 11:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document