

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.4568 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.3.2019

Garvit and another

....Appellants

VERSUS

Meenakshi

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Ms. Shreya Vashistha, Advocate for
Mr. Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate for the appellants.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Respondent has been served but remains unrepresented.

Perusal of order of notice of motion reveals that it was limited to examine possibility of an amicable settlement between the parties. A relevant extract therefrom reads as follows:-

“Heard.

Notice of motion for 16.11.2018 to the limited question of examining possibility of an amicable settlement between the parties. The appellants shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000.00 towards litigation expenses to be paid to respondent.

On necessary deposit being made in the Registry within a period of fifteen days, notice to the respondent for the aforesaid purpose.”

As the respondent did not cause appearance despite service, it is apparent that she is not interested to settle the matter. That being so, nothing survives for consideration of this Court and accordingly the appeal stands of. The amount of Rs.25,000/- deposited by the appellant

be released in their favour as the respondent did not come forward to appear before this Court.

MARCH 12, 2019
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No