

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43182-2019

Date of Decision: 18.10.2019

Nirmal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial just having begun, the petitioner having been in custody for the alleged commission of an offence punishable under Section 379 of the IPC for more than 02 months, with two others similar FIRs shown to be registered against him also being within the span of one week only, the petitioner cannot be termed to be a habitual offender, with the correctness of the allegations made in all the FIRs still to be determined.

In view of the above, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

October 18, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.