

116
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.10.11 12:09
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRWP-872-2019
Date of decision : 1.10.2019

Mintu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present :- Mr. A.K. Biriwal, Advocate
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

LALIT BATRA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims that his wife Neeta has been illegally detained by respondents No. 5 and 6 at Brick Kiln Marka BR-1 situated at Tarhor, District Ludhiana. The averment in the petition reflect violation of provisions of the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG Punjab accepts notice. Copy furnished.

The District Magistrate and Executive Magistrate seem to have power under Section 12/21 of the said Act to take necessary action. No representation seems to have been filed by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Ludhiana or Executive Magistrate concerned.

The petition is pre mature.

Disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation to the District Magistrate, Ludhiana or Executive Magistrate concerned. In case any such representation is filed, same will be entertained and decided within a period of 15 days after receipt of a copy of this order.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

1.10.2019
sjks