

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1) CRM-M-42656 of 2019
Date of Decision: 10.12.2019

Anil @ Aman

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

2) CRM-M-43090 of 2019

Sahil

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The two petitioners in these petitions, i.e. Anil @ Aman (petitioner in CRM-M-42656 of 2019) and Sahil (petitioner in CRM-M-43090 of 2019), not having been attributed any overt role other than being with the prime accused Parveen at the spot, with Parveen having allegedly shot at the complainant and injured him with gun shot wounds, and with the trial still not anywhere near conclusion, with only three prosecution witnesses out of 21 having been examined, and as per learned State counsel (on instructions from the police official who is

present in court to assist him), there are no other criminal case shown to have been registered against the petitioners in these two petitions, these petitions are allowed, with both the petitioners ordered to be released on bail upon furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

December 10, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.