

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 293 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.10.2019

M/s Colliers International (India) Property Services Private Limited.

.....Petitioner

-

versus

M/s Sahara India Commercial Corporation Limited.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present : Mr. Shekar Sareen, Advocate, for the applicant.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

The applicant submits that respondent-SICCL. in exercise of clause-23 of the arbitration agreement has appointed an arbitrator. It is submitted that the applicant feels that the arbitrator is not impartial and he is not picked to be appointed as an arbitrator.

Being aggrieved, the applicant has filed this application under section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. Apparently, after appointment of the arbitrator by the respondent-SICCL who is empowered to do so under clause 23 of the arbitration agreement, the issues raised by the applicant cannot be taken up under section 11 of the Act.

The application is accordingly dismissed with liberty to the applicant to take up his grievance and issues before the appropriate forum at appropriate stage in accordance with law.

**(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

18.10.2019

ravinder sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√