

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 28979 of 2019

Date of decision : 04.10.2019

Balwinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Jitender Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that he was allotted House No. 12/149, Sector-19, Chandigarh under the 'Out of Turn' quota vide Allotment Letter No. 516 dated 04.06.2019 (Annexure P-2) but the possession of the said house has not been given to the petitioner on the ground that the quota allotted for the Government of Haryana Employees, have already been exhausted and, therefore, possession of the said house cannot be given to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that again in the year 2019, applications have been invited for 'Out of Turn' allotment. Learned counsel argues that once the allottees like the petitioner, are still waiting for the possession of the house, before asking any application for 'Out of Turn' allotment, petitioner should have been offered the house in respect of the Allotment Letter dated 04.06.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be

issued to the respondent No. 1 to give the petitioner, the possession of House No. 12/149, Sector-19, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 03.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Keeping in view the advance notice, Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that respondents does not have any objection in deciding the representation dated 03.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner as being prayed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the representation dated 03.09.2019 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of one month.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 04, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*