

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-29719-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-16.10.2019**

Nagar Panchayat

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate for
Mr. Mohinder S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to decide the representations dated 28.06.2019 (Annexures P-5 & P-6) submitted by the petitioner for removal of respondent No.5 from the post of Vice President.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-The Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda to consider and decide the representations dated 28.06.2019 (Annexures P-5 & P-6) expeditiously.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda to consider and decide the representations dated 28.06.2019 (Annexures P-5 & P-6) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to it, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

16.10.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No