

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(110)

CWP-28849-2019

Date of Decision: October 04, 2019

Arun Kumar Malhotra

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, it is stated that the petitioner retired from service as Senior Assistant on 28.02.2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is entitled for the reimbursement of the medical bills, which amount the petitioner has incurred on his treatment. Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is a chronic patient of kidney ailment due to which he had to get the treatment of dialysis twice a week and the petitioner has submitted the bills for the said treatment starting from 03.08.2017 till 01.01.2019 amounting to Rs.5,13,581/- but the said bills have not been released by the respondents without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to consider the medical bills of the petitioner and release the medical reimbursement to the petitioner forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 23.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) to the

respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 23.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 23.08.2019 (Annexure P-2), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 04, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No