

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42644 of 2019

Date of decision: November 21, 2019

Vandhna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. S.S. Kainth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.196 dated 24.08.2019, under Sections 457/380 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

On 04.10.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that the dispute is between mother-in-law and sister-in-law.

Notice of motion for 21.11.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged

under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Dhian Singh, has stated that, in terms of order dated 04.10.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 04.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 21, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No