

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.42783 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.10.2019**

Jaibun

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Savita Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.538 dated 07.09.2019, under Sections 147, 148, 224, 225, 332, 353, 186, 308, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sohna, District Gurugram.

Contentends that petitioner is in custody since 06.09.2019 and nothing is to be recovered from her. Also contends that there is no other criminal case against the petitioner.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Sunil, but opposed the bail.

Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

Since petitioner is a female; she is in custody since 06.09.2019; nothing is to be recovered from her and there is no apprehension or allegation by learned State Counsel that she is in any manner likely to effect the smooth investigation of the case against other co-accused.

Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

It is clarified that in case there is any recurrence on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

October 15, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No