

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.3714 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:20.05.2019

Karma Ram (correct name Karam Chand) ... Appellant

Vs.

Labh Singh ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

C.M.No.9733-C of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, delay of 430 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M. stands allowed.

RSA No.3714 of 2016 (O&M)

Short point involved in the present regular second appeal is whether the appellant-defendant being landlord can forcibly take the possession from the respondent-plaintiff who has not been successful in seeking restraint against forcible interference and dispossession, the answer is 'No'.

Since the relationship between the parties was admitted and the injunction granted is so innocuous, the appellant-defendant is at liberty to

seek the eviction in accordance with law, if any of the grounds substantiated.

Resultantly, the regular second appeal is dismissed.

May 20, 2019
savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No