

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32254-2019

Date of decision: 7.11.2019

Sushma Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 6 to get removed the encroachment made by respondent No.7.

On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Director, Local Bodies, Punjab is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.8. Registry is directed to make the necessary addition in the memo of the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.8 – Director, Local Bodies, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 17.4.2019 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas

Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.8 – Director, Local Bodies, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 17.4.2019 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

7.11.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No