

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

236)

CRM-M-42626-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 02.12.2019

Sunil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner had sought the concession of bail in terms of the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C.

Today learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with it to be noticed that on 15.10.2019, the following order had been passed by this court:-

“Upon learned counsel for the petitioner having contended that the petitioners' name is Sunil whereas the main accused in the FIR is one Sukhwinder Singh, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him, submits that in fact it was the petitioner who was found to be impersonating as Sukhwinder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions as to whether the petitioner would be willing to pay the entire amount of the cheque in question, of Rs. 2,00,000/-, with appropriate

interest thereupon.

On his request, adjourned to 22.11.2019.”

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

02.12.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No