

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(120)

CWP-28918-2019

Date of Decision: October 04, 2019

Anu Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the husband of the petitioner, namely Sh. Rajiv Kumar, who was working as Peon since 02.01.1985 with the Municipal Council, Gurdaspur, unfortunately died on 28.04.2018 but no benefits in respect of the service rendered by Sh. Rajiv Kumar, has been extended to the petitioner by the respondents without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that even the family pension has not been extended to the petitioner despite expiry of more than one and half year since the death of her husband.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to respondent No.3 for releasing the benefits for which, the petitioner is entitled in respect of the service rendered by her late husband alongwith the family pension and arrears of interest forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and

the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-1), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 18.07.2019 (Annexure P-1), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

October 04, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No