

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

216

CRM-M-42564-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: November 01, 2019

SHAILESH KUMAR RAI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Neeru Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in CIS No. NACT/128/2016 dated 24.02.2016 titled 'Balraj versus Saleshe' filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, pending before the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had earlier been granted bail and had been appearing regularly before the trial Court. However, he could not appear before the trial Court on 20.05.2019, as he had to urgently go to Mumbai. He also contends that the petitioner had preferred an application for exemption which was dismissed by the trial Court. Consequently, his bail was cancelled. He undertakes that the petitioner shall henceforth appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and would not absent himself except with the prior permission of the Court.

This Court, by the order dated 04.10.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the trial Court and in the event of his doing so, he was ordered to be released on ad interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been released on interim bail in terms of the order dated 04.10.2019.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for petitioner, the order dated 04.10.2019 granting interim bail to petitioner is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 01, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No