

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29459 of 2019

Date of Decision: October 15, 2019

Balwan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner by counting the intervening period of dispensing of service/oral termination, i.e., March, 2003 to 21.12.2016 as the period spent on duty along with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 19.12.2018 (Annexure P-26) in terms of Annexure P-27 within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 19.12.2018 (Annexure P-26) in terms of Annexure P-27, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of

certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is to be accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law and if the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

It is made clear that in case the representation is not decided within two months, there shall be costs of ₹ 25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner.

October 15, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No