

221.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42604-2019

Date of decision: 06.11.2019

ROHIT SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0081 dated 11.05.2019 registered under Section 354-D of IPC and Section 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 at Police Station Pasyana, District Patiala.

Counsel for the petitioner refers to the compromise dated 19.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) entered between the parties and contends that based upon the compromise, a quashing petition has been filed by the petitioner i.e. **CRM-M-37045-2019** titled as ***Rohit Singh Versus State of Punjab and others.***

At this stage, Mr. Hitesh Mittal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed his *vakalatnama*, which is taken on record. He does not dispute the compromise and states that the statement of the parties have also been recorded before the trial Court qua the compromise.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Randhir Singh, shows ignorance about the compromise.

Considering the fact that the counsel for the complainant has not disputed the very factum of compromise and the petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2019, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his urnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

06.11.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No