

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.115

CR-6308-2019

Date of decision: 19.11.2019

Loveleen Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

Ram Krishan

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate
for the respondent.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 04.09.2019 passed by the Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Ludhiana (for short – the Trial Court) dismissing an application filed by the parties through which they have sought waiving off the stipulated period of six months for recording of second motion statement in a petition filed by them under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

On October 03, 2019, this Court had directed both parties to appear in person on 31.10.2019 on which date though the petitioner appeared, the respondent did not. Accordingly, the matter was adjourned to today with a direction to both parties to remain present in Court. Today also, the petitioner is present but the respondent is not.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that after engaging him his client has not thereafter contacted him. Therefore, he be permitted to withdraw his power of attorney. Such prayer is accepted.

In the above scenario, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw his petition, which is also permitted.

The respondent has failed to appear before this Court which is utter disregard to the repeated orders passed by this Court. Such absence is without any explanation. Therefore, bailable warrants be issued for his production on 02.12.2019.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

November 19, 2019

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No