

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42433-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.11.2019

Ravinder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana alongwith
ASI Satish Kumar.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the complainant

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 03.10.2019, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 212 dated 3.8.2019 registered under Sections 323, 506 IPC (Sections 354-A, 452 IPC deleted) and Section 3(1)(e) of Scheduled Casts and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Although it is true that the provision of pre-arrest bail is not available to the accused under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, however, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no offence under the aforesaid Act is made out. He further submits that the alleged incident although incorrect is not

alleged to be in public view.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana and seeks time to get instructions.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of first informant.

Adjourned to 22.11.2019.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Satish Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, cooperated and not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view thereof, order dated 03.10.2019 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

22.11.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No