

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(122)

CWP-28511-2019

Date of Decision: October 03, 2019

Sunita Rani

.. Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that late husband of the petitioner Sh. Umed Singh, who was working as a Lineman, is entitled for the grant of 1st and 2nd ACP under the revised pay scale, which benefit was not extended to him while he was in service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the late husband of the petitioner completed 10 years of regular service on 01.06.2002 and 20 years of service on 01.06.2012 and hence, he was entitled for the benefit of 1st and 2nd ACP from respective dates but the same was not granted to him without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to grant the 1st and 2nd ACP to the late husband of the petitioner and refix his salary and other benefits for which, he was entitled after his death alongwith interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-6) which

is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the legal notice dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 22.07.2019 (Annexure P-6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the legal notice, the same should also be paid to her within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 03, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No