

224
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.11.15 14:11
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-42325-2019
Date of decision : 13.11.2019

Parmila and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG Haryana.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 290, dated 3.9.2019, registered under Sections 427, 447, 506, 34 IPC at P.S. Sadar Jind, District Jind.

Allegations against petitioners are that they destroyed standing crops of complainant on 21.8.2019. A compromise is stated to have taken place between the parties on 23.8.2019 under which petitioners had agreed to compensate the complainant party. However, the promise was not fulfilled by the petitioners. When this case came up for hearing on 3.10.2019, the petitioners agreed to pay Rs. 15,000/- for the purpose of compensating the complainant. Accordingly, an amount of Rs. 15000/- was deposited in the Registry of this Court by way of demand draft. The petitioners were directed to join investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narender Singh, states that petitioners have joined investigation.

In view of above, petition is allowed. The order dated 3.10.2019, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute. However, petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The Registry is directed to release the demand draft of Rs. 15000/- to learned counsel appearing for petitioners.

The learned counsel for petitioners states that said demand draft will be deposited with trial Court for disbursal to complainant. The trial Court would release the demand draft in favour of the complainant. It would be open to the complainant to file for cancellation of their pre-arrest bail if the demand draft is not deposited by the petitioners before the trial Court within 3 weeks from today under prior intimation to the complainant.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

13.11.2019

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No