

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-42328-2019
Date of decision: 23.10.2019**

Naveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 884 dated 27.12.2017, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 379-B and 506 of the IPC at Police Station Sector 10, District Gurugram.

The operative part of the order dated 04.10.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in 2015, the petitioner has taken a room on rent with co-accused Mukesh as he was pursuing his B.Tech studies and thereafter, he vacated the said room.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case on the statement of co-accused Mukesh, who has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail, vide order dated 23.07.2018 passed in CRM-M-12747-2018.

List again on 23.10.2019.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 04.10.2019, has already appeared before the

SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Sandeep Kumar, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 04.10.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.10.2019
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No