

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 29030 of 2019

Date of Decision:16.10.2019

Anoop Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Raminder Singh Joon, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioner. Rather pleadings would indicate that there is a property dispute inter-se the petitioner and private respondents. A suit in such regard has already been instituted by the petitioner and which is pending adjudication before the competent Court.

This Court would view the instant petition only as a fall out of civil dispute.

No intervention is called for.

Petition dismissed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

October 16, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No