

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**RSA- 4857 of 2013 (O&M) and
Cross objections No. 10-C of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.9.2019**

Arjan Singh

---Appellant

versus

Satnam Singh

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Tarundeep Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. D.S.Pheruman, Advocate
for the appellant**

**Mr. V.K.Sandhir, Advocate,
for the respondent-cross objector**

Rekha Mittal, J.

CM No. 11428-C of 2019.

Heard.

**Allowed as prayed for. Agreement/compromise dated
15.7.2019 (Annexure A-1) is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.**

CM No. 4089-C of 2016

Heard.

**Allowed as prayed for. Delay of 520 days in filing the cross
objections stands condoned.**

**RSA No. 4857 of 2013 and
Cross objections No. 10-C of 2016**

**This order will dispose of RSA No. 4857 of 2013 and cross
objections No. 10-C of 2016 as these have emerged out of judgment and
decree dated 24.9.2013 passed by the Additional District Judge, Amritsar.**

Counsel for the parties are ad idem that dispute between the

parties has been settled by way of compromise dated 15.7.2019 (Annexure A-1) sought to be produced on record by filing CM No. 11428-C of 2019. They would further state that in view of compromise (Annexure A-1), sale deed would be executed in favour of the appellant on 27.12.2019. Counsel for the appellant and cross objector would state that appeal and cross objections may be disposed of in terms of the compromise.

In view of the above, the appeal and cross objections are disposed of in terms of compromise (Annexure A-1), taken on record. Parties shall remain bound by the agreed terms and conditions. In case the respondent fails to execute the sale deed in compliance with the compromise (Annexure A-1), the appellant would be at liberty to seek revival of the appeal.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

16.9.2019

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No