

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

234

CRM-M-42358-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 11.12.2019

MANJIT SINGH AND ANR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.171 dated 08.09.2019, under Sections 353, 186, 379-B, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations against the petitioners, who are driver and conductor of a private bus, are that they entered into an altercation with the complainant and have allegedly snatched a total sum of ₹10,000/- from the conductor. He also contends that the petitioners are not involved in any other case and the altercation took place due to misunderstanding for the time schedule.

This Court, by the order dated 03.10.2019, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on

ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, states that although the petitioners have joined investigation but they again threatened the complainant and DDR has been registered in that regard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, contends that the police of Guruharsahai police station is unnecessarily harassing the petitioners and there is no material to suggest that the petitioners had threatened the complainant again.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the petitioners having joined investigation, the order dated 03.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

It is made clear that in case the petitioners threaten the complainant or try to misuse the concession of bail, the State shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.12.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No