

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28337-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:30.09.2019**

Mamta Kumari & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8.

Pleadings on record would bring forth that petitioner No.1 is married to respondent No.4. Out of wedlock, one male child was born and who is still a minor.

Petitioner No.1 has left her matrimonial home and is stated to be in a live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2. Custody of the minor child is with the husband of petitioner No.1.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer any imminent danger and threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition is dismissed.

30.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No