

222/4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 28796 of 2019

Date of Decision: October 19, 2019

Santosh Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG Punjab
Mrs. Anu Chatrath Kapur, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate for respondent No. 3- GMADA

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is at par with petitioner who filed CWP No. 11435 of 2017, which was disposed of vide order dated 25.07.2018, annexed with this petition as Annexure P-5.

Learned counsel for the respondents have not disputed this fact.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the claim of the petitioner on the basis of options already submitted and take necessary action in accordance with law as well as the action taken in case of the petitioners who filed CWP No. 11435 of 2017. In case options have not been submitted, the same be submitted within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

October 19, 2019
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No