

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-42710 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.11.2019

Amarjeet Singh Cheema @ Amardeep Singh Cheema ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though the order dated 31.10.2019, directing the petitioner to be admitted to bail upon his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.10 lacs, (with a further condition being imposed upon him that he would surrender his passport, as also, attach property belonging to him as is worth about Rs.4,00,00,000/-) has not been complied with, with learned counsel for the petitioner submitting that the petitioner did not have property worth Rs.4,00,00,000/- in Bathinda, learned State counsel on instructions from the DSP who is present in court to assist him, submits that since the matter has been referred for legal opinion to the legal cell of the District Police, the police does not require the petitioners' custodial interrogation in any case, at this stage.

He further submits that in case the petitioner is required to join investigation, he would be given due notice.

That being so, this petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but with a direction, especially keeping in view the

age of the petitioner (stated to be more than 70 years), that if the petitioner is sought to be arrested at any stage despite the aforesaid statement made, 10 days notice would be given to him before making such arrest.

It needs to be mentioned here that the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, as made on the last date of hearing, have also been considered while passing this order.

19.11.2019
vcgarg/dinesh

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No