

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42150 of 2019

Date of decision: November 15, 2019

Bikramjit Singh alias Vicky and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners, in FIR No.41 dated 21.05.2019, under Sections 452, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 325, 341, 427, 380, 506 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran.

On 01.10.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that there is a delay of two days in lodging the FIR and the petitioners are also ready to settle the issue with the complainant side.

Notice of motion for 15.11.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail till the next date of hearing on their

furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Surinder Kumar, has stated that in terms of order dated 01.10.2019, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 01.10.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 15, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No