

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.4488 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.11.2019

Krishan Kumar

.....Appellant

Vs.

Mahila Sunder Kand Sabha and Another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DR. RAVI RANJAN

Present:- Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms.Seema Pasricha, Advocate, for the respondents.

DR. RAVI RANJAN, J. (Oral Judgment)

I have heard learned counsel for the appellant and respondents.

The appellant is present in person also.

Notice of motion was issued in the matter only on the submission made on behalf of the appellant that upon given reasonable time, he is ready to vacate the suit premises.

In that background of the matter, learned counsel for the appellant submits that, if, 8 month's time is given for vacating the said premises then the same would be vacated and in the meantime, the admitted contribution of Rs.5,000/- would be paid every month in the first week of the succeeding month.

The appellant personally undertakes as above before this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not have any objection to that.

Accordingly, in view of the undertaking given by learned counsel

for the appellant on instruction, it is ordered that the suit premises would be

vacated by the appellant on or before 04.07.2020 but the vacant possession should be given to respondent No.1 through its President, i.e., respondent No.2. In the meantime, the contribution as stated above, would also be paid in every first week of the succeeding month.

Failing anyone of the above, the concerned Executing Court would be duty bound to get the suit premises vacated through the process of law expeditiously.

This appeal, accordingly, stands disposed of.

November 06, 2019

anil

**(DR.RAVI RANJAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No