

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28824-2019

Date of Decision : 04.10.2019.

Deepinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Union of India and Ors

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Loveneet Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrita Singh, Advocate
for the respondent-UOI.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is that his passport is not being re-issued ostensibly on the ground that a criminal case is pending against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, the said criminal case has been instituted at the instance of petitioner, who was complaint therein and, therefore, the pendency of the said criminal proceedings cannot be to the disadvantage of the petitioner for not issuing the passport.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service of copy of the petition, Ms. Amrita Singh, Advocate, appears on behalf of the respondent-UOI.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents, as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required in the present case.

6. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to pass appropriate orders with regard to application dated 14.11.2014 of the petitioner, contained at Annexure P-4, seeking re-issuance of his passport and by looking into the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner, as noted above.

7. Let needful be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

04.10.2019.
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No