

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28350-2019

Date of Decision : 01.10.2019.

Satpal Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Ors

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on government job alongwith all consequential benefits on the basis of 5th pass qualification against the land acquired, as per policy dated 08.11.2011 (Annexure P-1) and guidelines dated 28.02.2014/03.03.2014 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that, at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, District Mansa to consider and decide the unadverted legal notice dated 02.04.2019 (Annexure P-11).

3. Heard.

4. In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, District Mansa to consider and decide the legal notice dated 02.04.2019 (Annexure P-11) within three months from the

receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

5. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

01.10.2019.
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No