

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP No.28258 of 2019

Decided on : 30.09.2019

Jagroop Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, counsel could not as such explain as to how petitions under Section 30 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the Act') would be maintainable, in the absence of dispute with other set of independent persons, reference of which is sought under Section 30 of the Act to the Civil Court.

Admittedly, the Reference Court has already decided the petition under Section 18 and fixed the market value on 16.03.2018 (Annexure P-1). If the petitioner has any grouse as such to seek enforcement of the said amount and claim the compensation granted, he has a remedy available in law.

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present petition and seeks liberty to avail his remedy in accordance with the law.

Ordered accordingly.

SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

Naveen

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No