

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

218

CRM-M-41972-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: November 08, 2019

VIJAY KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Anirudh Kush, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 277 dated 15.08.2019, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He had obtained a loan for purchase of a vehicle from the bank and had been returning the same in instalments. He also contends that it is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner was using the credit card belonging to another individual also named as Vijay Kumar son of Balbir Singh. He also contends that the confusion has arisen due to the identical name and father's name of the petitioner as well as other Vijay Kumar. He further contends that as per the allegations a sum of ₹80,000/- is outstanding against the petitioner regarding his credit purchases. He also contends that the petitioner had offered to make payment of the outstanding amount to the bank

but his request has been refused by the bank. Copy of the application of the petitioner and the objection recorded thereon has been furnished by the petitioner. The same is taken on record. He also contends that the petitioner shall once again try to make payment of the outstanding amount within a week to the bank.

This Court, by the order dated 30.09.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Zile Singh, states that although the petitioner has joined investigation but money is yet to be recovered from him.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 30.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 08, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No