

239

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-M No.260 of 2018
Date of Decision: 12.04.2019**

Sumit Kumar Sharma

Appellant

Versus

Jyoti Verma

Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the respondent informs this Court that both the parties have resolved the dispute by filing a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking divorce by way of mutual consent.

Learned counsel for the appellant is not present today.

Be that as it may. The statement made by learned counsel for the respondent is hereby believed.

The present appeal is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous subject to a rider that in case the respondent-wife refuses to

record her statement either on first motion stage or on second motion stage, the appellant would be entitled to file an application for revival of the appeal.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

April 12, 2019
pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes/ No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/ No |