

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28081-2019

Date of decision: - 27.09.2019

S.B. Dixit and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners prays that the benefit of the Leave Travel Concession as well as the benefit of Commutation of Pension to the employees of the Aided Institutions is not being extended, though, their counterparts working in the Government Institutions have been granted the said benefit.

Counsel for the petitioners argues that once the benefit of same pay has been extended to the employees of the Aided Institutions, as being granted to the employees/retirees of the Government Institutions, the allowances, which the employees/retirees working on the similar posts in the Government Institutions, such as Leave Travel Concession as well as Commutation of Pension should also have been extended to the employees/retirees of the Aided Institutions.

Counsel for the petitioners relies upon an order passed by

this Court in CWP-7945-1997 titled as 'Haryana State Adhyapak Sangh Vs. State of Haryana', decided on 09.12.1999. Counsel prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to grant the benefit of Leave Travel Concession and Commutation of Pension to the petitioners, who retired from the Aided Institutions at par with the employees/retirees, who retired from the Government Institutions.

Counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioners had served the respondents with the representations dated 14.08.2011, 19.04.2012, 16.07.2012, 13.01.2017, 12.05.2017, 16.10.2018, 07.06.2019, which have been attached as Annexure P-12 Collectively and representation dated 13.06.2018 (Annexure P-13) and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to decide the abovesaid representations, which have been attached collectively as Annexure P-12 and P-13, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

September 27, 2019
kanchan

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No