

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-27845-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.09.2019**

Preeti Sahota & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 9.

Counsel has been heard at length.

It has gone uncontroverted that petitioner No.1, aged 33 years was married to Sh. Anoop Kumar and out of wedlock, 3 children were born. Anoop Kumar is stated to have expired on 11.05.2015. Petitioner No.1 is now in a live-in-relationship with petitioner No.2.

Counsel would concede that petitioner No.2 himself is a married man and out of his wedlock with Nisha, one child is born.

Petitioner No.2 has virtually deserted his wife as also his minor child.

Under no circumstances would this Court approve of the relationship/liaison between the petitioners.

Even otherwise, the pleadings on record are wholly insufficient

for this Court to infer any imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition dismissed.

26.09.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |