

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 28080 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 27.09.2019**

Rajinder Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

By way of instant petition, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant them the benefit of judgment dated 26.04.2018 rendered by this Court in CWP No. 7001 of 2013 titled as "Daljeet Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others".

2. The petitioners also caused Legal Notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-9) but the same has not been adverted to by the respondents. Hence the petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service of copy of petition, Ms. Ambika Bedi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the legal notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-9) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law. It would be appreciated if the judgment, *ibid*, which as per the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioner is squarely applicable to the case of petitioners, is also gone into before passing a speaking order.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 27, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No