

105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision-30.09.2019

1. CRM-M-41868-2019 (O&M)

Anoop Garg

....Petitioner

Vs.

Rakhi Sood

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-41870-2019 (O&M)

Anoop Garg

....Petitioner

Vs.

Rakhi Sood

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner in above mentioned petitions has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in complaint case No. NACT 5497 dated 05.12.2015 under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (in short “NI Act”) titled as “Dr. Rakhi Sood Vs. Baldev Krishan Memorial Charitable Society and Anr” (in CRM-M-41868-2019) and in complaint case No.4913 dated 05.12.2015 under Section 138 NI Act, titled as “Dr.Rakhi Sood Vs. Baldev Krishan Memorial Charitable Society and Anr” (in CRM-M-41870-2019), whereby he was declared proclaimed

offender vide order dated 12.12.2016.

During the arguments of the case, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that the address given by the complainant in the complaint is the same where the petitioner is residing and is also given in the present petition.

A perusal of the petition reveals that the plea that the petitioner was not served is not substantiated with any material and a bald averment in that regard is not accepted. Admittedly, the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender on 12.12.2016 (Anenxure P-2), therefore, this Court does not find any reason the extend extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail.

Both the petitions dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

30.09.2019
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No