

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41493 of 2019

Date of Decision: 02.12.2019

Sunil Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. K. S. Brar, Advocate, for
for the petitioner.

Mr. APS Gill, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official who is present in court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, without commenting on the actual merits of the case, the petition is disposed of, with the order dated 25.09.2019 made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

However, the aforesaid order will not be treated to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, but only in view of the fact that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Naturally, the complainant would be at liberty to avail of her remedy as available to her, as regards any grievance, including the investigation process.

December 02, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.