

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRM-M no. 41412 of 2019
Date of Decision : 26.9.2019**

Deepak KumarPetitioner

v.

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the allegations against the petitioner are wholly false and in fact it was only because he did not install a new electricity connection in the premises of a consumer that the allegations had been levelled, it is seen that the complainant is not a consumer but a Senior Executive Engineer of the Department.

Therefore, the allegations being that the petitioner cheated a consumer and also embezzled money of the Department, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, in my opinion the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

26.9.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No