

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(136)

CWP-27742-2019

Date of Decision: September 26, 2019

Jorawar Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parampreet Singh Brar, Advocate, for
Mr. Pankaj Midha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for step-up of his pay equivalent to that of his junior reserved category candidate namely Sh. Chand Bahadur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was senior to Sh. Chand Bahadur in the cadre of S.S. Master as the seniority position of the petitioner was at Sr. No.9001 and that of Sh. Chand Bahadur was at Sr. No.9387. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that on the basis of the reservation, Sh. Chand Bahadur was promoted as Lecturer on 18.11.2002 whereas the petitioner was promoted as Lecturer on 10.04.2007, therefore, petitioner had caught Sh. Chand Bahadur in the cadre of Lecturer and therefore, petitioner is entitled for step up of his pay equivalent to Sh. Chand Bahadur keeping in view the instructions issued by the Government of Haryana dated 05.03.2009 (Annexure P-1) duly reiterated on 18.12.2013 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to grant the benefit of step up of pay to the petitioner forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-3), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 26, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No