

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28043-2019

Date of decision: 27.9.2019

Himanshu Kanotra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondent to reallocate three shops mentioned at point No.3 of the report dated 9.11.2015 (Annexure P-1) of the Superintendent, Local Govt. Punjab as per rules.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur to consider and decide the legal notices dated 19.3.2016 and 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advert to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 - Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur to consider and decide the legal notices

dated 19.3.2016 and 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

27.9.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No